

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,003	02/14/2002	Paul F. Baude	57181US002	9203
32692	7590 03/28/2003			
	ATIVE PROPERTIES	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			TRINH, HOA B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/076,003	BAUDE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vikki H Trinh	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-19 and 34-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exan	niner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	iminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
 a)	• •					
Attachment(s)	_					
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2-3</u>	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
5. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Act	ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 7				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that group I and IV should be examined together along with group III, because the groups are from the same class. This is not found persuasive because the groups represent different distinct inventions. For example, Group I states a deposition system; Group III indicates an integrated circuit; and Group IV states a transistor.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 20, line 7, "each patterned layer" is vague and unclear, because it is not clear as to which layer applicant is referring to. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 20-22, 25-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sturm et al. (6,087,196).

As to claim 20, Sturm et al. (6,087,196) discloses a deposition substrate 116, a patterned first electrode layer 118 adjacent the substrate; a patterned organic semiconductor layer 120; and

Application/Control Number: 10/076,003

Art Unit: 2814

a second patterned electrode layer 124, 126, wherein the patterned layer is defined by repositionable aperture mask. See figures 14B-14C.

As to claim 21, the first electrode layer defines a gate electrode 118 and the second electrode layer defines S/D electrodes 124, 126. See figures 14B-14C.

As to claim 22, the first electrode 124, 126 defines the S?D electrodes and the second electrode layer 118 defines a gate electrode. See figures 14B.

As to claim 25, the organic semiconductor is a polycrystalline organic semiconductor. See column 6, lines 50-68.

As to claim 26, the material is pentacene. See column 6, lines 50-65.

As to .claim 27, one or more complimentary transistor circuit elements 108, 110, 112.

See figures 13C.

As to claim 28, the elements include a semiconductor layer 102, 104, 106, having amorphous semiconductor layer. See column 6, lines 40-50.

As to claims 29-31, an interconnect layer 122. See figure 14B.

As to clam 32, a patterned dielectric layer 122 formed adjacent to the organic layer 120. See figure 14B.

As to claim 33, the IC is an electronic display. See column 3, lines 55-60.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,003

Art Unit: 2814

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sturns et al. (6,087,196).

Sturns et al. (6,087,196) discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Sturns et al. (6,087,196) does not teach a specific range of gap between the S/D electrodes. Nonetheless, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the gap between the s/d electrodes of Sturns et al. (6,087,196) with the specific

- Application/Control Number: 10/076,003

Art Unit: 2814

range, as claimed, since it is prima facie obvious of an artisan's experimentation and optimization because applicant has not established any criticality for the specific range.

The courts have concluded that there is no requirement that a motivation to make the modification be expressly articulated. The test for combining references is what the combination of disclosures taken as a whole would suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art. In re McLaughlin, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). Also, references are evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosures. In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Katz discloses an organic semiconductor layer with gate electrode and other elements. See entire document.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Vikki Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 308-8238. The Examiner can normally be reached Mon-Tuesday, Thurs-Friday, 7:30 AM - 6:00 PM Eastern Time. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Wael Fahmy, can be reached at (703) 308-4918. General inquiries relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-0858. The fax number is (703) 308-2708.

Vikki Trinh, Patent Examiner AU 2814

SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXCENSER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000